UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

RONNIE MONEY COLEMAN,

Case No. 3:19-cv-00172-RCJ-WGC

Petitioner,

ORDER

v.

WILLIAM GITTERE, et al.,

Respondents.

16

Petitioner filed an unauthorized proper-person motion to withdraw counsel (ECF No. 20), in which he complained about the lack of communication with counsel. Petitioner then filed a counseled unopposed motion for an extension of time in which to file an amended petition for writ of habeas corpus (ECF No. 21), in which counsel explained that the need to work remotely and other complications caused by the COVID-19 pandemic made communication with petitioner difficult. The court granted the motion. Petitioner now has filed a counseled first amended petition (ECF No. 23). From the filing of the first amended petition, it appears that petitioner and counsel have resolved the difficulties in communication, making the proper-person motion to withdraw counsel moot.

Respondents have filed a motion for enlargement of time (first request) (ECF No. 25). The court finds good cause exists to grant the motion.

/// 28

26

27

Case 3:19-cv-00172-RCJ-WGC Document 26 Filed 09/22/20 Page 2 of 2

IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that petitioner's motion to withdraw counsel (ECF No. 20) is **DENIED** as moot. IT FURTHER IS ORDERED that respondents' motion for enlargement of time (first request) (ECF No. 25) is **GRANTED**. Respondents will have up to and including September 25, 2020, to file a response to the first amended petition (ECF No. 23). DATED: September 22, 2020. ROBERT C. JØNES United States District Judge